Environmental consultants are now often so hamstrung by extreme health and safety rules they cannot conduct proper field surveys to detect threatened species in places proposed for mines or coal seam gas wells. Environment consultant Terry Reis lifts the lid on an ethos gone mad.

Last year I saw a photo of two blokes who were conducting a fauna survey in Queensland in 1978. One is wearing a shirt with the sleeves rolled up and a pair of ‘stubbies’ (very short shorts for the more youthful and urbane among you). The other is also wearing stubbies, and nothing else other than, possibly, underwear. He is either bare-footed or in thongs. The grass makes this difficult to ascertain but he is certainly not wearing shoes or boots. Neither wears a hat and one carries a rifle and a bag slung over his shoulder. This bag may contain water, a first aid kit and other items for their safety. But I doubt it.

This photograph resonates with me as I put out my first Elliot trap as part of a fauna survey circa 1978, although I wore a shirt to cover my less-than-mannish chest and didn’t carry a firearm. I continue to conduct fauna surveys, mostly when I work as a consultant and hence often for mining or coal-seam gas companies (yes, I do sleep at night). But how I long for those halcyon days of the late 70s when you could be blissfully unconcerned about your personal safety and that of your colleagues.

This is because my health and safety is now paramount in the minds, or at least procedures, of many of my employers. Apparently, nothing is of greater import to them. Before I can step forth to even glance at a bird or lunge at a lizard I must show proof that I have undergone rigorous training and seemingly endless, repetitive and largely inappropriate inductions. Strangely enough, I am seldom asked for proof that I can actually identify fauna. The details of what transpires before I venture forth into the field could be a tome in itself, but let’s just deal with the field work.

The burdens of safety

It is commonplace that I am obliged to wear a hardhat (even in treeless paddocks), high-visibility clothing (presumably so wildlife can elude me more easily), long-sleeved shirts with the sleeves buttoned at the wrist, long trousers, steel-capped boots and safety glasses. I may be required to wear gloves, or at least have them hanging from my belt for ease and speed of deployment. I may not be allowed to carry a knife (let alone a gun), but I may have to carry a GPS (for my safety rather than recording the location of fauna), EPIRB, UHF radio, first aid kit, five kilograms of water, sunscreen, insect repellent and, albeit rarely, a defibrillator. Of course, I also carry whatever equipment I need to conduct the actual fauna work, which can be substantial. The decline in my lizard-catching skills during ‘herp searches’ would appear to be attributable not just to middle-age. In many instances the greatest risk I face to my health and safety is complying with health and safety procedures.

In many instances the greatest risk I face to my health and safety is complying with health and safety procedures.
more likely to hinder than enhance my work reached its zenith recently when I was one of four field workers accompanied by up to 12 other people, most of whom didn’t leave the immediate vicinity of their vehicles and four of whom were occupational health and safety (OHS) staff, who often argued with each other about what was and was not safe. That we were seldom more than 50 metres from a busy road or worksite didn’t assuage their touching concern for our welfare. This concern was so profound that, despite the stifling tropical heat and humidity, we were obliged to do warm-up exercises, in full PPE (personal protection equipment), including hardhat, to ensure that we started work suitably ‘warmed up’. Fauna surveys may become an extreme sport.

**The nanny regime**

It is often a requirement that I am breathalysed before starting work, either sporadically or daily, depending on my client’s procedures. For one project, a two person OHS team met me and my colleague in the field with a breathalyser. They included themselves in the random selection process to identify the sole testee. On most mornings one of them drew the short straw and was breath-tested by the other, before I was allowed to go about my work. I wasn’t tested but nonetheless had to wait for this process to be completed. On two other projects it was unacceptable to urinate in the field, requiring me to travel up to 40 minutes to a designated toilet. These were both on working cattle stations where, presumably, the cattle were toilet-trained. Without bladder synchronisation, this rule meant a two person team would waste more than 2½ hours a day on a single toilet break each. No explanation was ever provided. Perhaps nappies should be added to my equipment.

I have also found myself prohibited from using a crow bar to strike the ground when installing pitfall traps, because it was designated as only suitable for leverage. An excavation permit has been required before inserting even a small metal peg in the ground. I’ve not been allowed to change a flat tyre as this is an emergency situation requiring specialist assistance. I’ve been refused permission to climb into the tray of a ute to retrieve trapping equipment as I didn’t have a working at heights permit. I was told that the minimum height at which this permit was required had been abolished. When I remarked that this meant we couldn’t get into or out of the 4wd I was met with the sort of look that suggested my card was being marked. I’ve been repeatedly denied access to sites at night for reasons that have never been adequately explained. Maybe they just intuited there was no nocturnal wildlife present. And staying on site, mornings one of them drew the short straw and was breath-tested by the other, before I was allowed to get on with my work. I wasn’t tested but nonetheless had to wait for this process to be completed.

The constraints do not necessarily result in an EIS that fails to identify the key issues. A good consultant relies substantially on database searches and habitat quality assessment to determine environmental values and predict the presence or absence of threatened species. The write-up for even the most comprehensive field survey is likely to suggest that some species were present but not recorded. But government approvals for projects are much easier to get if rare species are predicted rather than demonstrated.

Much of what I have described is comparatively recent to the industry and no one project I’ve been part of has included all these constraints. But the tape grows ever more restrictive, and I can envisage a future when it prevents fauna surveys altogether.

The rules are seldom designed with fauna ecologists in mind. They instead reflect an inflexibility by companies whose typical activities on a mine, for example, are indeed hazardous and who take their duty of care seriously. I defy the comprehension of OHS staff when, for example, I point out that venomous snakes cannot be held safely by someone wearing thick gloves. There is a complete disconnect between the operation of a good fauna survey and fulfilment of OHS policy.

The government wants to reduce ‘green tape’ to speed up the decision-making process on development projects. They should at the same time encourage companies to abandon this nanny-state approach to field work, to free us from the OHS’s tape that prevents us from properly surveying fauna and flora, and which increases costs to industry when OHS staff spend their days trailing ecologists in the field. The health and safety of wildlife may depend on it.

**An excavation permit has been required before inserting even a small metal peg in the ground.**
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